

**From:** Michael Horn <michael@theyfly.com>

**Date:** August 18, 2008 9:11:19 AM PDT

**To:** Jeremy Vaeni, Gene Steinberg, Derek Bartholomaus <derek@iigwest.com>, "James Underdown randi@randi.org" <jim@cfiwest.org>

**Subject: Re: To Jeremy**

To all concerned,

Please note that the following response from Vaeni contains factual and logical errors, which I will address.

There have been numerous photo/video experts who have analyzed Billy Meier's footage and concluded that it is hoaxed. His American rep. likes to tout experts of his own--except that when asked, most of them say that he deeply misrepresents their conclusions. All of this can be found online and most easily in several threads at <http://www.abovetopsecret.com>

MH: Since there are no specific experts mentioned by Vaeni, it should be pointed out that the actual experts, such as those who contributed to the original investigation (see: <http://theyfly.com/PDF/PhotoAnalysis3.pdf> and <http://theyfly.com/PDF/Scientific%20Experts.PDF>) as well as special effects experts from Wally Gentleman (a special effects director for Kubrick's 2001) and Uncharted Territory (Academy Award winners for Independence Day) have made it quite clear to any thinking person just how difficult it would be - *even for professionals of their status* - for Meier to have hoaxed his photographic evidence.

Of course, Vaeni not only has failed to be able to duplicate any of Meier's evidence himself but, quite tellingly, fails to make even one word of mention about the great efforts and resultant shortcomings of Tony Wharton - whose work it seems that Vaeni himself thought would expose Meier's as a hoax. Since Wharton himself *concedes the obvious shortcomings of his own work* in comparison to Meier's, there's really nothing left for the skeptics like Vaeni to do but concede the obvious themselves...

Yet and still, some people find it all hard to follow. Mainly this is because Billy has given out so much information it's hard to know where to start. Frankly, it's just plain easier to believe the case than not. It doesn't help that *Larry King Live* continues to show his older footage as commercial bumpers on UFO-related episodes.

So how do we cut through all of this to find the truth? Is there one hoaxed object we can all agree upon? It turns out that, *yes there is*. Take a look at the photo below. To most people it looks like an awful model without having to point anything in particular out. Still, there are many who are so invested in Billy's story--because it involves pseudo religious texts and things that speak to them personally, their rational judgment is completely clouded by belief. So even this ridiculous model they take on faith is a space craft.

However, search the top left of the "craft," just underneath the second row of bulbs. Notice something Billy missed? That's right, one of the pegs or pins or nails--or whatever it is--fell out of place and onto the hull. It came unglued and fell onto the hull. Billy says this is a real spaceship.

Rationality tells us it's a HOAX.

MH: ...if they had any sense. But Vaeni still can't resist destroying any shred of his own remaining credibility. He is obviously *very* unfamiliar with everything from his own responsibility to back up his attacks on people and evidence, to what it would actually take to take 63 flawless, still irreproducible, photos of the WCUFO (and one video), to even building a "model" of such an object.

He glosses over the *fact* that Wharton himself tried and failed...and at least *he* admits it! Of course, Jeff Ritzmann's failure to duplicate the object, photograph and film it are also a matter of record.

The entire controversy about the WCUFO (as well as over most of the other evidence in the Meier case) demonstrates exactly what the Plejaren and Meier themselves have told us. For certain people, absolute proof of the existence of the Plejaren would be so devastating as to cause them, and consequently many others, irreparable harm. Instead, various "escape routes" had to be built into the evidence in the case so that people *aren't forced to believe it*. Naturally, this demonstration of both superior intelligence and compassion are also overlooked, if not trampled over, by the very people who actually are the beneficiaries of this consideration.

The fact that those of us who've reasoned our way through the evidence in the case, and come to the logical conclusions about its authenticity, aren't frightened or threatened by its authenticity shows that the calculated risk taken by the Plejaren and Meier has paid off. While those for whom it is not yet time to perceive the truth still project their suspicions, as drawn from limited reference points such as "garbage can lids", "pegs or pins or nails--or whatever it is", etc., the rest of us have moved on to serious consideration about the information and the underlying purpose of the case itself.

It is now clear to many people that one of the underlying purposes of the Meier case is to help us assure and secure our own future survival. So threatened are we all by the preponderance of ignorant, greedy, power-hungry and destructive elements in our world, by the rampant illogical, bloodthirsty and enslaving religions, as well as secular forces, that we actually fail to see the actual, unimaginable extent of the catastrophic damage that we are bringing down upon ourselves.

Even with a foundation of credibility of some 57+ years, clearly and carefully

established by the prophetically accurate information in the case provided to us by the Plejaren (and Meier himself), the inability to perceive its value, is strikingly on display...especially from many members of the so-called "UFO community" who erroneously consider themselves to be qualified to perceive the truth and purpose of the case, let alone of the UFO phenomenon itself.

For too many people, like Vaeni and his cohorts, the UFO topic has provided an environment in which they can project and vent their own anger, delusions, immaturity and arrogance. By stubbornly refusing to admit what is not only obvious but now well proven about the Meier case - with ample assistance from the failures of skeptics such as Tony Wharton and Derek Bartholomaus themselves - Vaeni relegates himself, and any of those who are still remaining in the camp of denial - to irrelevancy.

For them, all that remains are clubby little forums where they can gather to try to bolster their egos at the expense of the truth. But the truth now is so glaring that only the most foolish among us would still try to deny, rather than learn from, it.

Fortunately, unsubscribing to such foolishness is a choice that people can still make.

Michael Horn  
Authorized American Media Representative  
The Billy Meier Contacts  
[www.theyfly.com](http://www.theyfly.com)

Producer /Writer  
**The Silent Revolution of Truth**

On Mon, Aug 18, 2008 at 12:55 AM, Michael Horn <[michael@theyfly.com](mailto:michael@theyfly.com)>  
wrote:  
Hi Jeremy,

You've certainly seen [http://theyfly.com/newsflash94/British\\_Skeptic.pdf](http://theyfly.com/newsflash94/British_Skeptic.pdf), so now let's see just how much...*integrity* you have, or if your own motivations are to simply boost and protect your ego at other people's expense.

Let's see if all of the malicious attacks from you and your associates against Meier, his evidence (and me as his representative), when now shown to be unfounded, are withdrawn and apologized for. Let's see if you're an *ethically* big enough and intelligent enough person to take responsibility for being wrong...or if you just can't resist indulging in another moment of infantile ridicule, which is only going to come back to you anyway.

And I guess it's poetic justice that you want to feature Tony's films. Thanks.

MH

--

Jeremy Vaeni

-----

Director

Culture of Contact

[www.cultureofcontact.com](http://www.cultureofcontact.com)